

In vitro antifungal susceptibility testing of *Candida* blood isolates and evaluation of the E-test method

Jang-Jih Lu, Shih-Yi Lee, Tzong-Shi Chiueh

Division of Clinical Pathology, Department of Pathology, Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC

Received: February 23, 2004 Revised: March 31, 2004 Accepted: June 25, 2004

Fungal infections have dramatically increased in recent years, along with the increase of drug-resistant isolates in immunocompromised patients. Ninety eight *Candida* species obtained from blood cultures at the Tri-Service General Hospital, Taiwan, from 1998 to 2000 were studied. These included 50 *Candida albicans*, 13 *Candida glabrata*, 24 *Candida tropicalis* and 11 *Candida parapsilosis* isolates. To investigate their susceptibility to commonly used antifungal drugs, minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of amphotericin B, fluconazole, flucytosine, and ketoconazole were determined. Both the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards reference broth macrodilution method and E-test were used in parallel. Ninety five isolates (95/98, 96.94%) were susceptible to amphotericin B at a concentration $\leq 1 \mu\text{g/mL}$. All isolates (100%, 98/98) were susceptible to flucytosine. Approximately 30% of these *Candida* isolates were resistant to fluconazole. The MIC for 90% of isolates (MIC_{90}) values for both methods for these isolates were 0.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for amphotericin B, 32 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for fluconazole, 0.25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for flucytosine (0.125 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ by E-test method), and 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for ketoconazole. MIC for 50% of isolates (MIC_{50}) values for these agents were 0.25, 2, 0.06, and 0.06 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively. The essential agreement of MIC values within 2 dilutions for the 2 methods was 99.0% for amphotericin B, 90.8% for ketoconazole, 92.9% for fluconazole, and 91.8% for flucytosine. This study showed that E-test has equivalent performance to the broth macrodilution method and can be used as an alternative MIC technique for antifungal susceptibility testing.

Key words: Antifungal agents, culture media, microbial sensitivity tests, reference standards

In recent years, fungal infections have increased dramatically due to factors such as increased organ transplants, use of immunosuppressive drugs, "over-use" of chemotherapy, dissemination of human immunodeficiency virus, and use of invasive treatments [1,2]. According to reports from the National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System (NNISS), fungal infections have increased from 2 per 1000 patients in 1980 to 3.8 per 1000 in 1990 [3]. Among pathogens isolated, *Candida albicans* is the most common species, followed by *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida parapsilosis*, and *Candida glabrata*. Bloodstream infections caused by *Candida* spp. have increased significantly and now represents the fourth most common pathogen since 1989 [4-8]. An increase of 400% in invasive infections caused by *Candida* spp. has also been reported in some teaching hospitals [4], with morbidity and mortality of 30-50% being cited [9]. The prophylactic use of any antifungal agent may potentially select resistant organisms.

Intrinsically fluconazole resistant species, i.e., *C. glabrata* and *Candida krusei*, have become important nosocomial pathogens, especially in institutions with extensive use of fluconazole. Fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* have been described in late-stage acquired immunodeficiency syndrome patients and immunocompromised patients [10,11]. Because of the life threatening nature of these infections and reports of resistance to azoles [12-14], susceptibility testing of pathogenic yeast has become very important.

In 1992, the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) proposed a reference broth macrodilution method for antifungal susceptibility testing of yeast (M27-P) [15]. Although recommendations for a broth dilution format was also developed to the approved level (M27-A, 1997) [16], this method may still have some limitations and further efforts are needed to develop simpler, more reliable and robust and economical methods for use in the clinical laboratory [16,17].

E-test® (AB BIODISK, Solna, Sweden) is a novel agar-based minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) method that uses a predefined gradient of an antifungal

Corresponding author: Jang-Jih Lu, M.D., Ph.D., Division of Clinical Pathology, Department of Pathology, Tri-Service General Hospital, No. 325, Section 2, Cheng-Kung Rd., Taipei, Taiwan, ROC. E-mail: jjl@mail.ndmctsgh.edu.tw

agent immobilized on a plastic strip, allowing for MIC determinations across 15 dilutions [18]. The purpose of this study was to compare E-test with the NCCLS reference broth macrodilution method by using both methods in parallel to determine the susceptibility of *Candida* spp. isolated from bloodstream infections at our hospital. This work would also provide valuable epidemiology data on recent clinical isolates and further verify empiric therapy choices for physicians.

Materials and Methods

Clinical isolates

Ninety eight clinical yeast isolates from patients with bloodstream infections at Tri-Service General Hospital from May 1998 to May 2000 were studied. Identification of *Candida* spp. isolates was done using the germ tube test and conventional mycological methods [19]. All isolates were stocked in trypticase soy broth containing 20% glycerol at -70°C until susceptibility testing was performed.

Antifungal agents

Reference grade powders of amphotericin B, flucytosine and ketoconazole (all from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) and fluconazole (Pfizer Inc., Sandwich, UK) were used to prepare stock solutions and various dilutions from 0.03 to 32 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for all drugs except for fluconazole where the range was 0.125 to 64 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. Stock solutions of amphotericin B and ketoconazole (2560 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide and fluconazole and flucytosine in distilled water. All stock solutions were diluted with RPMI 1640 broth (JRH Bioscience Inc., KS, USA) to achieve $10 \times$ final concentrations. E-test had the following MIC ranges: 0.002 to 32 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for amphotericin B, flucytosine and ketoconazole and 0.016 to 256 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for fluconazole. E-test reagent strips were stored at -20°C until used. Quality control strains and reference strains of *C. albicans* (ATCC 90028), *C. tropicalis* (ATCC 750), *C. parapsilosis* (ATCC 22019) and *C. krusei* (ATCC 6258) were included in each test run [20,21].

Susceptibility medium

RPMI 1640 with L-glutamine broth without bicarbonate was buffered with MOPS (3-N-morpholino-propanesulfonic acid) to pH 7.0 and used for the broth macrodilution method [16]. The same batch of RPMI

1640 broth was supplemented with 2% glucose and buffered with MOPS to pH 7.0 and solidified with 1.5% of Bacto-agar (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) to prepare agar plates for use with E-test.

Susceptibility testing

The broth macrodilution method was performed according to the approved NCCLS document M27-A [16]. In summary, the inoculum was prepared from growth on Sabouraud dextrose agar subcultures incubated at 35°C for 24 to 48 h depending on the species. Colonies were suspended in 0.85% saline and the turbidity of the resulting suspension was adjusted to a calibrated 0.5 McFarland standard. A working suspension was made by diluting the original suspension 1:100 and then 1:20 in RPMI broth. 900 μL of the final inoculum suspension was dispensed into a plastic test tube (13×100 mm) containing 0.1 mL of the antifungal dilution of the drug to be tested. The positive growth control tube contained 0.1 mL of drug diluent and 0.9 mL of the inoculum while the negative control had 0.1 mL of drug diluent and 0.9 mL of RPMI broth. The test tubes were incubated at 35°C for 48 h. The MIC endpoint reading criteria were as follows: azoles at the so-called 80% inhibition of growth compared to the growth control, flucytosine at almost complete inhibition ignoring slight hazes and amphotericin B at complete inhibition [16]. E-test was set up and read according to the manufacturer's instructions. As E-test values are based on a continuous gradient of concentrations instead of 2-fold dilutions in the broth macrodilution, between-dilution E-test MIC values were rounded up to the next 2-fold dilution for the purpose of comparison.

Analysis of results

The comparability of the 2 methods was assessed by determining MIC values where 50% (MIC_{50}) and 90% (MIC_{90}) of isolates tested was inhibited, respectively. Essential agreement (EA) was defined as percentage agreement of E-test and macrodilution test values within ± 1 dilution [22].

Results

The distribution of the 98 *Candida* isolates was: *C. albicans* (50), *C. glabrata* (13), *C. tropicalis* (24), and *C. parapsilosis* (11). MIC ranges as determined by macrodilution method and E-test for the 4 drugs are summarized in Table 1. The narrow MIC ranges

for amphotericin B and flucytosine were 0.002 to 2 µg/mL and 0.008 to 0.25 µg/mL, respectively. For amphotericin B, 3 *C. parapsilosis* isolates from 3

patients had an MIC of 2 µg/mL and the other 95 isolates (97%) were susceptible to amphotericin B at a concentration ≤1 µg/mL by macrodilution method

Table 1. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and MIC for 50% (MIC₅₀) and 90% (MIC₉₀) of clinical *Candida* isolates to the 4 antifungal agents by broth macrodilution method and E-test

Species (no. of strains)	Antifungal agent	MIC (µg/mL)				
		Range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀		
<i>C. albicans</i> (50)	Amphotericin B	Macrodilution	<0.03~0.5	0.25	0.5	
		E-test	<0.002~0.5	0.125	0.38	
	Fluconazole	Macrodilution	0.25~>64	0.5	>64	
		E-test	0.25~>256	0.75	256	
	Flucytosine	Macrodilution	<0.03~0.25	0.06	0.25	
		E-test	0.016~0.25	0.064	0.094	
	Ketoconazole	Macrodilution	<0.03~>32	<0.03	4	
		E-test	0.008~>32	0.023	4	
	<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (11)	Amphotericin B	Macrodilution	0.06~2	0.5	2
			E-test	0.032~2	0.5	2
		Fluconazole	Macrodilution	0.5~>64	32	>64
			E-test	0.5~>256	64	256
Flucytosine		Macrodilution	<0.03~0.125	<0.03	0.125	
		E-test	0.008~0.064	0.016	0.032	
Ketoconazole		Macrodilution	0.06~8	1	2	
		E-test	0.032~8	0.75	1.5	
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (24)		Amphotericin B	Macrodilution	<0.03~1	0.5	0.5
			E-test	<0.002~1	0.25	0.5
		Fluconazole	Macrodilution	0.5~>64	1	4
			E-test	0.25~>256	1	8
	Flucytosine	Macrodilution	<0.03~0.25	0.06	0.125	
		E-test	0.008~0.125	0.032	0.094	
	Ketoconazole	Macrodilution	<0.03~8	0.06	8	
		E-test	0.008~16	0.064	8	
	<i>C. glabrata</i> (13)	Amphotericin B	Macrodilution	<0.03~0.5	0.25	0.5
			E-test	<0.002~0.75	0.25	0.38
		Fluconazole	Macrodilution	16~>64	32	32
			E-test	16~>256	32	64
Flucytosine		Macrodilution	<0.03~0.125	<0.03	0.06	
		E-test	0.008~0.064	0.016	0.047	
Ketoconazole		Macrodilution	0.5~16	0.5	1	
		E-test	0.5~>32	0.75	2	

Table 2. Essential agreement (EA) between National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards broth macrodilution method and E-test for *Candida* spp. tested

Organism (no. of strains)	No. of isolates							EA
Amphotericin B								
Log ₂ (E-test/macrodilution)	<-2	-2	-1	0	1	2	>2	EA
<i>C. albicans</i> (50)	0	0	24	25	1	0	0	100.0%
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (11)	0	1	2	7	1	0	0	90.9%
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (24)	0	0	4	18	2	0	0	100.0%
<i>C. glabrata</i> (13)	0	0	3	9	1	0	0	100.0%
Total	0	1	33	59	5	0	0	99.0%
Fluconazole								
Log ₂ (E-test/macrodilution)	<-2	-2	-1	0	1	2	>2	EA
<i>C. albicans</i> (50)	0	0	3	33	10	4	0	92.0%
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (11)	0	0	1	6	3	1	0	90.9%
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (24)	1	0	1	20	2	0	0	95.8%
<i>C. glabrata</i> (13)	0	1	0	7	5	0	0	92.3%
Total	1	1	5	66	20	5	0	92.9%
Flucytosine								
Log ₂ (E-test/macrodilution)	<-2	-2	-1	0	1	2	>2	EA
<i>C. albicans</i> (50)	0	6	21	17	6	0	0	88.0%
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (11)	0	1	2	8	0	0	0	90.9%
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (24)	0	1	9	12	2	0	0	95.8%
<i>C. glabrata</i> (13)	0	0	2	11	0	0	0	100.0%
Total	0	8	34	48	8	0	0	91.8%
Ketoconazole								
Log ₂ (E-test/macrodilution)	<-2	-2	-1	0	1	2	>2	EA
<i>C. albicans</i> (50)	0	0	12	28	5	0	5	90.0%
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (11)	0	0	2	8	0	1	0	90.9%
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (24)	0	0	7	11	5	1	0	95.8%
<i>C. glabrata</i> (13)	0	0	2	4	5	2	0	84.6%
Total	0	0	23	51	15	4	5	90.8%

as well as E-test method. All isolates were susceptible to flucytosine (at ≤ 0.25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). The MIC distributions for fluconazole and ketoconazole were broader: 0.25 to >256 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and 0.008 to >32 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively. Ten isolates of *C. albicans* (10/50, 20%), 5 (5/11, 45.5%) of *C. parapsilosis* and 2 (2/24, 8.3%) of *C. tropicalis* were resistant to fluconazole (MIC ≥ 64 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). *C. glabrata* isolates were more resistant to fluconazole with MIC values ranging from 16 to >64 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. Five *C. albicans* and 1 *C. glabrata* were inhibited by ketoconazole MIC values of ≥ 16 $\mu\text{g/mL}$.

The MIC₉₀ and MIC₅₀ data for the 4 drugs of each *Candida* sp. tested are shown in Table 1. For amphotericin B and flucytosine, the MIC₉₀ and MIC₅₀ were much lower than those of the other 2 antifungal agents. Differences of MIC₉₀ and MIC₅₀ determined by the 2 methods were seen in drug-species grouping; however, all of the differences were within 1 dilution if the MIC value was rounded up to the next 2-fold dilution in the E-test for comparison with broth macrodilution method. Overall, MIC₉₀ for all isolates

by both methods were 0.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for amphotericin B, 32 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for fluconazole, 0.25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for flucytosine (0.125 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ by E-test), and 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for ketoconazole. MIC₅₀ values of all isolates for these 4 drugs were 0.25, 2, 0.06 and 0.06 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively.

EA data for the methods are shown in Table 2. MIC values by E-test tended to be higher for fluconazole and ketoconazole. For all *Candida* spp., EA was 99.0% for amphotericin B, 92.9% for fluconazole, 91.8% for flucytosine, and 90.8% for ketoconazole. The lowest EA was found for *C. glabrata* and ketoconazole (84.6%).

Discussion

Since invasive infections caused by yeasts are associated with high morbidity and mortality and resistance to antifungal agents is increasing, it becomes very important for clinical laboratories to perform susceptibility testing of pathogenic yeasts to support appropriate therapy guidance. In our hospital, susceptibility testing of yeasts had not been performed.

In this study, broth macrodilution and E-test were used to evaluate the susceptibility of the important yeast pathogens isolated from bloodstream and to investigate the capability in performing antifungal susceptibility testing in a clinical routine environment.

Numerous studies have reported good agreement between E-test and the macrodilution method for antifungal susceptibility testing in general [22-25]. However, fewer publications have reported the use of E-test for determining the susceptibility patterns of yeasts isolated from bloodstream infections [26-29]. In this study, excellent agreement was also seen between E-test and broth macrodilution methods on the susceptibility testing of *Candida* blood isolates. Therefore, E-test was found to be a useful alternative MIC method for susceptibility testing of yeast pathogens even for clinical laboratories inexperienced in testing in this area. Furthermore, the endpoint trailing phenomenon for azoles was more often observed in the broth macrodilution method and was also more difficult to read than with E-test.

In the European SENTRY program reported in 1999 [26], resistance to fluconazole ($\text{MIC}_{90} \geq 64 \mu\text{g/mL}$) was found among *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei* but was rare among other *Candida* species. In the United States, Pfaller et al showed that fluconazole susceptibility of all *Candida* species (*C. albicans* and other species, including *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata*) isolated from 1992 to 1998 remained stable ($\text{MIC}_{90} 16 \mu\text{g/mL}$) [27]. In Taiwan, Chen and colleagues reported a stable susceptibility of *Candida* blood isolates to fluconazole from 1994 (94.0%) to 2000 (97.9%), despite increased consumption of fluconazole [30]. However, our study showed a resistant rate of 28.6% to fluconazole in all *Candida* species. Most reports recommend that continued resistance surveillance in infections caused by *C. albicans* and other species of *Candida* among hospitalized patients [27-29]. It was also suggested that changing patterns of use of antifungal drugs and broad-spectrum antibiotics, and efforts to improve the rational use of antifungal agents at hospitals should contribute to a stability of antifungal susceptibility [30].

Due to a similar mode of action, cross resistance between the various azoles have been discussed previously [31]. In that case, the clinical isolate with resistance to ketoconazole failed to respond to itraconazole. Another study found that the MICs of itraconazole for fluconazole-resistant isolates were significantly higher than those for fluconazole-susceptible ones [32]. Our results also showed this

phenomenon, in that 5 of 10 *C. albicans* (fluconazole $\text{MIC} > 32 \mu\text{g/mL}$) had a ketoconazole $\text{MIC} > 8 \mu\text{g/mL}$ (data not shown). However, only 1 of 13 *C. glabrata* (fluconazole $\text{MIC} \geq 16 \mu\text{g/mL}$) gave a higher MIC of ketoconazole ($16 \mu\text{g/mL}$). This converse observation was also noted in the study by Ruhnke et al [33]. Many cases of candidiasis caused by *C. albicans* that failed to respond to ketoconazole may respond to fluconazole, and vice versa. These results imply that the antifungal mechanisms of azoles might involve novel effects, as discussed in a recent study [34].

Consistent with other reports, our data showed a narrow MIC range for amphotericin B [35-37], making it difficult to set an interpretive MIC breakpoint to define susceptibility for clinical practice since clinical failures are sometimes seen. Despite more than 30 years of clinical use, resistance to amphotericin B is still rarely reported and resistant isolates are often confined to the less common species of *Candida*. Our data, however, only showed 3 *C. parapsilosis* inhibited by an elevated amphotericin B MIC value of $2 \mu\text{g/mL}$. It appears prudent to improve the ability of antifungal testing methods to better detect and discriminate amphotericin B resistance since it is the agent of choice for all *Candida* isolates and even for filamentous fungi. A report has shown that E-test identified subpopulations of yeast isolates with high amphotericin B MIC values [38]. This implied that E-test was more powerful in detecting the subpopulation resistant to amphotericin B.

Flucytosine MIC values were low (< 0.03 to $0.25 \mu\text{g/mL}$) by both methods and all strains were found to be fully susceptible in our study. In other countries, flucytosine resistance has been reported in less than 10% of isolates in 1998 [28,29]. However, flucytosine is a drug with a limited spectrum of action that includes *Candida* spp. and *Cryptococcus neoformans* [39]. The value of monotherapy with flucytosine is limited because of the frequent development of resistance [40]. Recently, it has been combined with newer azole antifungal agents; the drug also plays an important role in a new approach to the treatment of cancer. The severe side effects of flucytosine include hepatotoxicity and bone marrow depression [41,42]. Flucytosine is mainly excreted by the kidneys, and thus potential interactions with nephrotoxic drugs should also be considered [41].

In conclusion, E-test retains the advantages of disk diffusion while providing quantitative MIC values by using a unique predefined gradient technology. The method requires 24 to 48 hours to obtain MIC results compared to 48 to 72 hours with the reference broth

macrodilution method. The precise, stable and continuous gradient in the system makes it possible to obtain reproducible, discrete quantitative MIC values [43]. Overall, E-test was simpler to use and read with the comprehensive and illustrative technical instructions provided by the manufacturer. In addition, improvements of susceptibility testing media and incubation conditions to provide clearer endpoints have been well studied in recent reports [44-46]. Although susceptibility testing of yeast is infrequently done at hospitals and isolates are often sent out to other reference laboratories, our study suggests that blood isolates and isolates from immunocompromised patients can be routinely and reliably tested using E-test.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants TSGH-C89-39 from Tri-Service General Hospital and NSC88-2314-B-016-053 from the National Science Council, Taiwan, ROC. We acknowledge the invaluable assistance of Dr. Anne Bolmström with chart review.

References

1. Berkely S. AIDS in the developing world: an epidemiologic overview. *Clin Infect Dis* 1993;17(Suppl 2):S329-36.
2. Dupont B, Graybill JR, Armstrong D, Laroche R, Touze JE, Wheat LJ. Fungal infections in AIDS patients. *J Med Vet Mycol* 1992;30(Suppl 1):19-28.
3. Beck-Sague C, Jarvis WR. Secular trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial fungal infections in the United States, 1980-1990. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System. *J Infect Dis* 1993;167:1247-51.
4. Banerjee SN, Emori TG, Culver DH, Gaynes RP, Jarvis WR, Horan T, et al. Secular trends in nosocomial primary bloodstream infections in the United States, 1980-1989. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System. *Am J Med* 1991;91(3B):86S-9S.
5. Jarvis WR, Martone WJ. Predominant pathogens in hospital infections. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1992;29(Suppl A):19-24.
6. Anaissie E. Opportunistic mycoses in the immunocompromised host: experience at a cancer center and review. *Clin Infect Dis* 1992;14(Suppl 1):S43-53.
7. Wingard JR, Merz WG, Rinaldi MG, Johnson TR, Karp JE, Saral R. Increase in *Candida krusei* infection among patients with bone marrow transplantation and neutropenia treated prophylactically with fluconazole. *N Engl J Med* 1991;325:1274-7.
8. Hung CC, Chen YC, Chang SC, Luh KT, Hsieh WC. Nosocomial candidemia in a university hospital in Taiwan. *J Formos Med Assoc* 1996;95:19-28.
9. Anaissie EJ, Bodey GP, Rinaldi MG. Emerging fungal pathogens. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1989;8:323-30.
10. Maenza JR, Merz WG, Romagnoli MJ, Keruly JC, Moore RD, Gallant JE. Infection due to fluconazole-resistant *Candida* in patients with AIDS: prevalence and microbiology. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997;24:28-34.
11. Marr KA, White TC, van Burik JA, Bowden RA. Development of fluconazole resistance in *Candida albicans* causing disseminated infection in a patient undergoing marrow transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997;25:908-10.
12. Nolte FS, Parkinson T, Falconer DJ, Dix S, Williams J, Gilmore C, et al. Isolation and characterization of fluconazole- and amphotericin B-resistant *Candida albicans* from blood of two patients with leukemia. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1997;41:196-9.
13. Francis P, Walsh TJ. Evolving role of flucytosine in immunocompromised patients: new insights into safety, pharmacokinetics, and antifungal therapy. *Clin Infect Dis* 1992;15:1003-18.
14. Rex JH, Rinaldi MG, Pfaller MA. Resistance of *Candida* species to fluconazole. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1995;39:1-8.
15. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeast: Proposed Standard M27-P. NCCLS, Villanova, PA; 1992.
16. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeast: Approved Standard M27-A. NCCLS, Villanova, PA; 1997.
17. Cormican MG, Pfaller MA. Standardization of antifungal susceptibility testing. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1996;38:561-78.
18. Barry AL, Pfaller MA, Rennie RP, Fuchs PC, Brown SD. Precision and accuracy of fluconazole susceptibility testing by broth microdilution, Etest, and disk diffusion methods. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002;46:1781-4.
19. Warren NG, Hazen KC. *Candida*, *Cryptococcus*, and other yeasts of medical importance. In Murray PR, Baron EJ, Pfaller MA, Tenover FC, Tenover FC, eds. *Manual of clinical microbiology*. 6th ed. pp. 723-37. 1995; American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC, USA.
20. Pfaller MA, Bale M, Buschelman B, Lancaster M, Espinel-Ingroff A, Rex JH, et al. Quality control guidelines for National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards recommended broth microdilution testing of amphotericin B, fluconazole, and flucytosine. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995;33:1104-7.
21. Rex JH, Pfaller MA, Lancaster M, Odds FC, Bolmstrom A, Rinaldi MG. Quality control guidelines for National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards—recommended broth

- macrodilution testing of ketoconazole and itraconazole. *J Clin Microbiol* 1996;34:816-7.
22. Colombo AL, Barchiesi F, McGough DA, Rinaldi MG. Comparison of Etest and National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards broth macrodilution method for azole antifungal susceptibility testing. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995;33:535-40.
 23. Colombo AL, Barchiesi F, McGough DA, Fothergill AW, Rinaldi MG. Evaluation of the E test system versus a microtitre broth method for antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts against fluconazole and itraconazole. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1995;36:93-100.
 24. Sewell DL, Pfaller MA, Barry AL. Comparison of broth macrodilution, broth microdilution, and E test antifungal susceptibility tests for fluconazole. *J Clin Microbiol* 1994;32:2099-102.
 25. Espinel-Ingroff A, Pfaller M, Erwin ME, Jones RN. Interlaboratory evaluation of E-test method for testing antifungal susceptibilities of pathogenic yeasts to five antifungal agents by using Casitone agar and solidified RPMI 1640 medium with 2% glucose. *J Clin Microbiol* 1996;34:848-52.
 26. Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Doern GV, Fluit AC, Verhoef J, Sader HS, et al. International surveillance of blood stream infections due to *Candida* species in the European SENTRY Program: species distribution and antifungal susceptibility including the investigational triazole and echinocandin agents. SENTRY Participant Group (Europe). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1999;35:19-25.
 27. Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Hollis RJ, Jones RN, Doern GV, Brandt ME, et al. Trends in species distribution and susceptibility to fluconazole among blood stream isolates of *Candida* species in the United States. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1999;33:217-22.
 28. Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Messer SA, Edmond MB, Wenzel RP. National surveillance of nosocomial blood stream infection due to species of *Candida* other than *Candida albicans*: frequency of occurrence and antifungal susceptibility in the SCOPE Program. SCOPE Participant Group. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998;30:121-9.
 29. Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Messer SA, Edmond MB, Wenzel RP. National surveillance of nosocomial blood stream infection due to *Candida albicans*: frequency of occurrence and antifungal susceptibility in the SCOPE Program. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998;31:327-32.
 30. Chen YC, Chang SC, Luh KT, Hsieh WC. Stable susceptibility of *Candida* blood isolates to fluconazole despite increasing use during the past 10 years. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003;52:71-7.
 31. Smith KJ, Warnock DW, Kennedy CT, Johnson EM, Hopwood V, Van Cutsem J, et al. Azole resistance in *Candida albicans*. *J Med Vet Mycol* 1986;24:133-44.
 32. Barchiesi F, Colombo AL, McGough DA, Fothergill AW, Rinaldi MG. In vitro activity of itraconazole against fluconazole-susceptible and -resistant *Candida albicans* isolates from oral cavities of patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1994;38:1530-3.
 33. Ruhnke M, Eigler A, Engelmann E, Geiseler B, Trautmann M. Correlation between antifungal susceptibility testing of *Candida* isolates from patients with HIV infection and clinical results after treatment with fluconazole. *Infection* 1994;22:132-6.
 34. Ghannoum MA, Rice LB. Antifungal agents: mode of action, mechanisms of resistance, and correlation of these mechanisms with bacterial resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1999;12:501-17.
 35. Nguyen MH, Clancy CJ, Yu VL, Yu YC, Morris AJ, Snyderman DR, et al. Do in vitro susceptibility data predict the microbiologic response to amphotericin B? Results of a prospective study of patients with *Candida* fungemia. *J Infect Dis* 1998;177:425-30.
 36. Favel A, Michel-Nguyen A, Chastin C, Trousson F, Penaud A, Regli P. In-vitro susceptibility pattern of *Candida lusitanae* and evaluation of the E-test method. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1997;39:591-6.
 37. Rex, JH, Pfaller, MA, Barry, AL, Nelson PW, Webb CD. Antifungal susceptibility testing of isolates from a randomized, multicenter trial of fluconazole versus amphotericin B as treatment of nonneutropenic patients with candidemia. NIAID Mycoses Study Group and the Candidemia Study Group. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1995;39:40-4.
 38. Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Bolmstrom A. Evaluation of E-test for determining in vitro susceptibility of yeast isolates to amphotericin B. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998;32:223-7.
 39. Vermes A, Guchelaar HJ, Dankert J. Flucytosine: a review of its pharmacology, clinical indications, pharmacokinetics, toxicity and drug interactions. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2000;46:171-9.
 40. Girmenia C, Venditti M, Martino P. Fluconazole in combination with flucytosine in the treatment of fluconazole-resistant *Candida* infections. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2003;46:227-31.
 41. Vermes A, van der Sijs IH, Guchelaar HJ. Flucytosine: correlation between toxicity and pharmacokinetic parameters. *Chemotherapy* 2000;46:86-94.
 42. Kauffman CA, Frame PT. Bone marrow toxicity associated with 5-fluorocytosine therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1977;11:244-7.
 43. Sanchez ML, Jones R. Etest, an antimicrobial susceptibility testing method with broad clinical and epidemiologic application. *Antimicrob News* 1993;8:1-7.

Susceptibility testing of *Candida* spp.

44. Warnock DW, Johnson EM, Rogers TR. Multi-centre evaluation of the E-test method for antifungal drug susceptibility testing of *Candida* spp. and *Cryptococcus neoformans*. BSAC Working Party on Antifungal Chemotherapy. J Antimicrob Chemother 1998;42:321-31.
45. Tortorano AM, Viviani MA, Barchiesi F, Arzeni D, Rigoni AL, Cogliati M, et al. Comparison of three methods for testing azole susceptibilities of *Candida albicans* strains isolated sequentially from oral cavities of AIDS patients. J Clin Microbiol 1998;36: 1578-83.
46. Chang HC, Chang JJ, Huang AH, Chang TC. Evaluation of a capacitance method for direct antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts in positive blood cultures. J Clin Microbiol 2000; 38:971-6.